

Quoting from ET Docket No. 03-104, and Brett Kilbourne of the United Power Line Council- "The UPLC believes that the existing Part 15 rules for carrier current systems adequately protect against interference, and that the existing measurement methods and Verification process for equipment authorization should be retained at this time."

I believe this to be far from substantiated fact and that further analysis into the tests and methods conducted by the UPL council should be scrutinized. The experience of the ARRL folks at BPL field trial sites which were conducted by a trustworthy technician

is in direct contradiction to this statement (and of course so are the laws of physics). Additional evidence of high levels of interference have been documented in Europe. It is my wish that the Commission think of the serious impact that BPL would have on communications in the HF spectrum, for Amateur Radio operators as well as government interests. Currently serving as a Radioman in the United States Navy Reserve, I understand the importance of HF Radio as a back-up means of communication (today, both civilian and military) and would urge you to seriously reconsider any authorization for the use of BPL. The utilization of BPL for the UPLC should not be considered viable in comparison to the MANY MANY uses and protection of the HF spectrum for the security and safety of our country today. I remind you that the needs of the few should never outweigh the needs of the many with respect to the comments outlined in ET Docket No. 03-104.